

Ruda, Emily B.

NRC can record

From: Paul Glover [Paul_Glover@hc-sc.gc.ca]
Sent: August 15, 2006 2:22 PM
To: Gaëtan, Raymond; Steve_Clarkson@hc-sc.gc.ca; patricia_hoes@hc-sc.gc.ca
Cc: Ignatow, Aleksander; Surges, Leonard; Dauphin, Philippe
Subject: Re: FW: HC position vis-à-vis chrysotile and its controlled use approach.

K. Fisher

Thanks for your e-mail.

Rest assured HC's position has not changed.

Let me expand. We can not say that chrysotile is safe. However, we do feel there is science and evidence to support that chrysotile is less "dangerous" than other forms of asbestos. We also feel that the risks associated with chrysotile can be managed by using a controlled use approach - i.e. know the substance, its properties and hazards, and handle and use it accordingly.

x
xx

HC's preferred position would be to list - as this is consistent with controlled use - i.e. let people know about the substance so they have the information they need, through prior informed consent, to ensure they handle and use the substance correctly. **s.21(1)(a)**

[Redacted block]

s.69(1)(q) re:(a)

[Redacted block]

s.21(1)(a)

In short, we want to contribute health advice, but acknowledge the final decision will not be made on the basis of health alone, and other key factors will need to be considered.

x *||++*

I have copied key players within HC so that everyone is on the same page and communicate this with their staff so further confusions can be avoided.

I hope this clarifies things.
Paul

Gaëtan, Raymond
<rgaetan@NRCan.gc.ca>
<Lsurges@NRCan.gc.ca>, "Ignatow, Aleksander"
Philippe" <pdauphin@NRCan.gc.ca>
2006-08-15 01:44
chrysotile and its controlled use approach.
PM

To: "Paul Glover" <Paul_Glover@hc-sc.gc.ca>
cc: "Surges, Leonard"
<aignatow@NRCan.gc.ca>, "Dauphin,
Subject: FW: HC position vis-à-vis